ŀ		FILED
1	James M. Marovich (021203)	7-15-2010 3:200 MICHAEL K. JEANES, Clerk
2	THE MAROVICH LAW FIRM, P.L.C. 2727 E. Edgemont Avenue	By & Melius
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85008	T. Melifus, Deputy
4	Tel: 602.956.4121 jmarovich@marovichlaw.com	
5		
6	Jeffrey C. Grass (Texas Bar # 00787581) LAW OFFICE OF JEFFREY C. GRASS	
7	Bank of America Tower 101 E. Park Blvd., Suite 600	
ĺ	Plano, Texas 75074	
8	Tel: 214.273.7290 jcgrass@gmail.com	
9	Pro Hac Vice	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
[]	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA	
12	LYNN CURTIS SWEET, D.O.,) Case No.: LC2010-000462-001 DT
13)
14	Plaintiff,)
15	v.	ORDER
16	THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN	ORDER
17	MEDICINE AND SURGERY,)
18	Defendant.)
19		_)
20		
21	This matter having come before t	he Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Stay, and
22	This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion to Stay, and having considered the memoranda submitted by the parties, and being fully advised it	
23	having considered the memoranda submitted by the parties, and being fully advised in the premises, and for good causing appearing,	
	and premises, and for good edusing appear	ייםייי
24		
25		
	•	

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Stay is granted pending and until final disposition of this matter;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is subject to the condition that Plaintiff shall not prescribe Class II narcotics and shall not supervise any physician assistants during the period of time that this Order is effective.

DATED this day of 2010.	
	1
Manl /: Chen	into

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

In the Matter of

LYNN C SWEET, D.O.

Holder of License No. **3246**For the Practice of Osteopathic Medicine In the State of Arizona.

Cases No. DO-09-0093A and DO-09-0181

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REHEARING OR REVIEW

(Suspension and Probation)

At its public meeting on June 8, 2010, the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners in Medicine and Surgery ("Board") considered a Motion for Rehearing or Review filed by Lynn Sweet, D.O. ("Respondent"). Respondent requested the Board rehear or review its March 31, 2010, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Suspension and Probation in Cases no. DO-09-0093A and DO-09-018108A. The Board voted to deny the Respondent's Motion for Rehearing or Review upon due consideration of the facts and law applicable to this matter.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

Respondent's Motion for Rehearing or Review is denied. The Board's March 31, 2010, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Suspension and Probation in Case no. DO-09-0093A and DO-09-018108A is effective and constitutes the Board's final administrative order.

RIGHT TO APPEAL TO SUPERIOR COURT

Respondent is hereby notified that he has exhausted his administrative remedies.

Respondent is advised that an appeal to Superior Court in Maricopa County may be

1	taken from this decision pursuant to title 12, chapter 7, article 6 of Arizona Reviseo	
2	Statutes.	
3	DATED this/O day of June, 2010.	
4		
5	ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS	
6	IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY	
7	SEAL By: Mine Loland	
8	Elaine LeTarte, Executive Director	
9	Liame Levalle, Executive Director	
10		
11	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this	
13 14	Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners In Medicine and Surgery 9535 East Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale AZ 85258-5539	
15		
16	Executed copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Mail this // day of June 2010 to:	
17		
18	Jeffery C Grass, Esq 101 E Park Blvd, Suite 600	
19	Plano TX 75205	
20	Lynn C. Sweet, D.O. 12020 S Warner Elliot Loop, Ste 101	
21	Phoenix, AZ 85044	
22		
23		

BEFORE THE ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

IN THE MATTER OF:	Cases No.: DO-09-0093A and DO-09-0181
LYNN SWEET, D.O. Holder of License No. 3246 For the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona	FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER FOR SUSPENSION AND PROBATION
	1

On April 30, 2009, the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners ("Board") received a complaint against Lynn Sweet, D.O. ("Respondent"). Respondent was notified of the complaint, case no. DO-09-0093A on June 10, 2009. On June 22, 2009, the Board received the records subpoenaed in this case, but Respondent submitted no other response to or explanation of the complaint. On July 29, 2009 the Board amended the notice to include additional allegations. Respondent submitted a response.

On October 6, 2009, the Board received another complaint against Respondent.

Respondent was notified of the complaint, case no. DO-09-0181A on October 27, 2009.

Respondent responded to the complaint on November 24, 2009.

On January 11, 2010, the Board noticed Respondent to appear at the Investigative Hearings on each of these cases on March 20, 2010, and provided Respondent with the investigative materials, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-3206. Respondent received both notices and sets of materials on January 12, 2010. Respondent responded to each that he would appear before the Board at the Investigative Hearings.

On March 20, 2010, the Board conducted the Investigative Hearings. Respondent appeared and was not represented by counsel.

After hearing testimony and considering the documents and evidence submitted, the

Board voted to enter the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, and Order for Suspension and Probation in resolution of both cases.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENTS

- 1. The Board is empowered, pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1800 et seq., to regulate the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, and the conduct of the persons licensed, registered, or permitted to practice osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 2. Respondent is the holder of License No. 3246 issued by the Board for the practice of osteopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Case No. 09-0093A

- 3. The Board reviewed records of fourteen (14) patients selected from a pharmacy audit of patients to whom Respondent had repeatedly prescribed large amounts and high doses of opioids and other controlled substances between the dates of April 1, 2008 and April 30, 2009.
- 4. Respondent and his professional staff (Physician Assistants or Nurse Practitioners) prescribed or refilled prescriptions for opioids and other controlled substances without physical examination or laboratory testing for patients A.S., J.H., J.M., M.D., and V.D.
- 5. Respondent and his professional staff prescribed or refilled prescriptions for opioids and other controlled substances without obtaining or reviewing prior medical records of all 14 patients, relying instead on patients' self reports of conditions and their requests for refills of medication.
- 6. Respondent and his professional staff did not recognize drug seeking behavior in patients J.M., M.D., and P.W., and continued to prescribe and refill controlled substances.
- 7. Respondent and his professional staff continued to refill prescriptions for chronic pain patients with little assessment of the patient's pain relief or improved functioning, and without referrals to specialists.

Case No. 09-0181A

- 8. The Board obtained and reviewed medical records of patient S.H., then a 22 year old male, who first presented to Respondent on June 26, 2009 stating that he had been in an ATV accident in Mexico a month prior. S.H. asked for refills of high dosages of Oxycodone, Oxycontin and Xanax. Based on S.H.'s self reported history, and without a physical examination, Respondent prescribed those refills.
- 9. Respondent and his professional staff did not perform physical examinations and laboratory tests typical of establishing a clinical basis for continued treatment of chronic pain. No prior medical records were requested or obtained. An x-ray study to evaluate patient S.H. was not done until November 2009, after the date Respondent was notified of the complaint.
- 10. Despite having patient S.H. sign a pain management contract, Respondent and his staff did not hold S.H. to the agreed upon behavior:
- a. S.H. agreed to have all prescriptions filled at a designated pharmacy; yet, between June and October, 2009, S.H. filled prescriptions at three different pharmacies.
- b. S.H. agreed to submit to urine screens to monitor appropriate usage of prescribed medications. Although the records state those screens were ordered, the medical records contain no laboratory results.
- 11. Respondent provided two sets of medical records for S.H. The first, handwritten set of records were subpoenaed and picked up from Respondent's office in October 2009. The second, computer generated set of records were provided by Respondent on November 24, 2009. The two sets of records had the following inconsistencies;
 - a. The computer generated records contain only the visits on June 26, July 22, and November 9, 2009. The handwritten records show additional visits on August 22, September 9, and October 1, 2009, when S.H. was seen by Respondent's professional staff.

b. The handwritten set shows no history or physical examination done on S.H.'s first visit on June 26, 2009. The computer generated set shows a detailed examination, included a nasal, ear and throat examination. Such an examination is not typical on a presenting complaint of back pain.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 12. The conduct described above is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (5), which states "Prescribing, dispensing or administering controlled substances or prescription only drugs for other than accepted therapeutic purposes."
- 13. The conduct described above is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (6), which states "Engaging in the practice of medicine in a manner that harms or may harm a patient or that the board determines falls below the community standard."
- 14. The conduct described above is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (21), which states "Failing or refusing to establish and maintain adequate records on a patient as follows:
 - (a) If the patient is an adult, for at least seven years after the last date the licensee provided the patient with medical or health care services.
 - (b) If the patient is a child, either for at least three years after the child's eighteenth birthday or for at least seven years after the last date the licensee provided that patient with medical or health care services, whichever date occurs first.
 - (c) If the patient dies before the expiration of the dates prescribed in subdivision(a) or (b) of this paragraph, for at least three years after the patient's death."
- 15. The conduct described above is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (34), which states: "Lack of or inappropriate direction, collaboration or

supervision of a licensed, certified or registered health care provider or office personnel employed by or assigned to the physician in the medical care of patients."

- 16. The conduct described above, is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (36), which states: "Prescribing or dispensing controlled substances or prescription-only medications without establishing and maintaining adequate patient records."
- 17. The conduct described above, is a violation of unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1854 (38), which states: "Any conduct or practice that endangers a patient's or the public's health or may reasonably be expected to do so."
- 18. The Board has taken action against Respondent's license prior to the Investigative Hearing held on March 20, 2010, as follows:
 - (a) At its meeting on September 29, 2007 the Board issued a non-disciplinary Letter of Concern to Respondent, based on concerns about his supervision of staff and prescribing habits, and required Respondent to complete twenty (20) hours of continuing medical education in appropriate prescribing of pain medications and pain management.
 - (b) At its meeting on September 12, 2009, the Board found that Respondent had engaged in unprofessional conduct in that he did not response to multiple Board-issued subpoenas and lacked communication with the Board. The Board levied a civil penalty of \$250, which Respondent paid in a timely manner.

<u>ORDER</u>

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Board, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Lynn Sweet, D.O, holder of osteopathic medical License number 3246,

1. Is SUSPENDED for a period of twelve (12) months from the date this Order is issued. During the period of suspension Respondent shall not be present in his office(s) at any time that the office is open to patients nor shall he consult in person or electronically, or otherwise be involved in any fashion, in the practice of osteopathic medicine as defined at A.R.S. §32-1800(20).

- 2. Is placed on PROBATION for a period of Five (5) Years from the date the Suspension is lifted, subject to the following terms:
- A. Respondent's practice is restricted in that Respondent shall not treat any patients for chronic pain nor prescribe any narcotics or opioids during the probation.
 - B. Any costs of compliance are to be borne by Respondent.
- 3. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in the State of Arizona.
- 4. Respondent shall appear in person before the Board or Board staff for interviews upon request, upon reasonable notice.
- 5. Respondent shall immediately notify the Board in writing of any change in office or home addresses and telephone numbers.
- 6. In the event that Respondent ceases to practice clinical medicine in the State of Arizona, for any reason, Respondent shall notify the Board that he has ceased practicing in Arizona, in writing, within 10 days of ceasing to practice. The Board may stay the terms of this Order until such time as the Respondent resumes the practice of medicine in AZ, or may take other action to resolve the findings of fact and conclusions of law contained in this Order.
- 7. Respondent's failure to comply with the requirements of this Order shall constitute an allegation of unprofessional conduct as defined at A.R.S. § 32-1854(25); proven violations may be grounds for further disciplinary action (e.g., suspension or revocation of license).

8. NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST REVIEW OR REHEARING

Respondent has the right to request a rehearing or review of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09. The request for rehearing or review must be filed with the Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners within thirty (30) days. If Respondent requests a review or rehearing, that request must be based on at least one of the eight grounds for review or rehearing that are

allowed under A.A.C. R4-22-106(D). Failure to file a motion for rehearing or review within 30 days has the effect of prohibiting Respondent from seeking judicial review of the Board's decision. Service of this order is effective five (5) days after date of mailing. A.R.S. § 41-1092.09(C). If a petition for rehearing or review is not filed, the Board's Order becomes effective thirty-five (35) days after it is mailed to Respondent.



ISSUED THIS DAY OF MARCH 2010. ARIZONA BOARD OF OSTEOPATHIC EXAMINERS IN MEDICINE AND SURGERY

Elaine LeTarte Executive Director

Original "Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Suspension and Probation" sent by certified mail, return receipt requested this day of March, 2010 to:

Lynn Sweet, D.O. Address of Record

Copies of this "Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order for Suspension and Probation" sent this day of March, 2010 to:

Arizona Board of Osteopathic Examiners In Medicine and Surgery 9535 East Doubletree Ranch Road Scottsdale AZ 85258-5539

Camila Alarcon, AAG Office of the Attorney General CIV/LES 1275 West Washington Phoenix AZ 85007

#7006 0210 0002 2000 6334

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25